UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,972	07/31/2003	Jukka A. Vainio	60091.00219	1842
	7590 02/13/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR		SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R		
8000 TOWERS TYSONS COR	NER, VA 22182	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,972	VAINIO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145	

	Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI (36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	on.  LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		Inpliant Amendment (	10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,8-18 and 24-27.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  Applicant's arguments are directed toward the proposed							
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2007112</u>	<u>27</u>					
/Jason D Cardone/							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments change the claim scope and require further search and consideration.

Regarding the claim rejectiosn under 35 U.S.C. 101, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's invention as claimed is non-functional, and Applicant should remove the "configured to" claim language as Applicant agreed to do in the telephonic interview of 10/15/2007 to overcome this rejection. Applicant's representative further agreed to amend to a computer node embedded on a computer readable medium in the telephonic interview of 10/15/2007 to overcome the 101 rejection. It is unclear why Applicant agreed to make these changes in an interview held for purposes of compact prosecution, and then subsequently failed to make said changes.